

DUPE

Depository of Universal Plagiarism Examples



- Software Plagiarism
 - Definition
 - Measurement
- S.A.F.E. Tests
- Depository of Universal Plagiarism Examples
 - Choose open source projects
 - Definition of software plagiarism
 - Logistics
 - Legal issues
- Discussion/Partners

Software Plagiarism

- Faidhi and Robinson
 - "An empirical approach for detecting program similarity and plagiarism within a university programming environment", *Computer Education* Vol. 11. pp. 11-19, 1987.
- Six levels of program modification





 Faidhi and Robinson



- M. H. Halstead. *Elements of Software Science*. New York: Elsevier, 1977
 - n1 = number of unique operators
 - n2 = number of unique operands
 - N1 = number of operator occurrences
 - N2 = number of operand occurrences
- V = "volume" of a program
 - $V = (N1 + N2) \log 2 (n1 + n2)$
- E = mental effort required
 - E = [n1 N2(N1 + N2) log2 (n1 + n2)]/(2n2)

- Parker and Hamblen
 - "Computer Algorithms for Plagiarism Detection," *IEEE Transactions on Education*, Vol. 32, No. 2, pp. 94-99, May 1989
 - Survey of various detection programs and algorithms
 - Assigned metrics to source code features
 - Specific features and number of features varied





• H. T. Jankowitz, "Detecting plagiarism in student Pascal programs," Computer Journal, vol. 31, no. 1, pp. 1-8, 1988





 Random House Unabridged Dictionary. (2006). Random House, Inc.

> the <u>unauthorized</u> use or close imitation of the language and thoughts of another author and the representation of them as one's own original work.



- "Plagiarism detection"
- No definition
- No references
- No standards
- No theoretical basis
- Often reflect the creator's bias
- Need an all-encompassing metric

Source Code Correlation

- $\rho_{\rm s}$ Statement correlation
- $\rho_{\rm c}$ Comment/String correlation
- ρ_i Identifier correlation
- ρ_q Instruction sequence correlation
- ρ Overall source code correlation





S.A.F.E. Tests

- Used C source code files from the open source GNU C compiler GCC version 3.3.2
- Arbitrarily chose ten files in each of the following categories:
 - Small: Less than 100 lines
 - Medium: Between 100 and 1000 lines
 - Large: Greater than 1000 lines

11 of 22



Modifications

- 1. Remove comments
- 2. Rename identifiers
- 3. Rearrange routines within each file
- 4. Rearrange lines of code within routines
- 5. Do all of the above
- 6. Remove statements but leave comments
- 7. Mix selected routines into one file



Results

	CodeMatch	JPlag	MOSS
Comment removed	100% (30 of 30)	100% (30 of 30)	97% (29 of 30)
Identifiers renamed	100% (30 of 30)	100% (30 of 30)	97% (29 of 30)
Routines rearranged	100% (30 of 30)	100% (30 of 30)	83% (25 of 30)
Lines of code rearranged	100% (30 of 30)	100% (30 of 30)	87% (26 of 30)
All of the above	100% (30 of 30)	100% (30 of 30)	73% (22 of 30)
Code removed	83% (25 of 30)	0% (0 of 30)	0% (0 of 30)
One routine from each file	83% (25 of 30)	63% (19 of 30)	50% (15 of 30)
Overall	95% (200 of 210)	80% (169 of 210)	70% (146 of 210)

Sept. 16, 2009

Poor tests?

- Biased toward CodeSuite®?
- Not real-life examples?
 - Academia
 - Industry
- Not independent?

Depository of Universal Plagiarism Examples

- Choose open source projects
- Minimum definition of software plagiarism
- Logistics
 - Create database
 - Create policies
 - How to run the tests
 - How to generate the results
 - How to distribute the results
- Understand legal issues
 - Privacy
 - Copyright
 - Licensing



Choose open source projects

 Choose one or several open source projects that include a testbed for testing that the software is working correctly.



Minimum definition of software plagiarism

- Must perform the same exact function as the original (must pass the test bed tests)
- Must take the same data inputs using the same data types
- Must produce the same exact outputs using the same data types

Logistics

- Announce the Depository for Universal Plagiarism Examples (DUPE) as an independent, unbiased, academic collection of code that will be used to test programs that detect source code plagiarism.
- Distribute the code we've chosen (or point users to the code) and request plagiarized copies to be added to DUPE.
- Offer a reward to those who contribute and those who actually fool the programs. Maybe the reward is recognition on the DUPE website.



Logistics

- After enough entries have been received, run several plagiarism detection programs and report the results such as:
 - Which programs found which plagiarized code?
 - Percentage of false positives
 - Percentage of false negatives
- Contact providers of the plagiarism detection programs and ask them to comment and/or provide updated versions of their programs to test.



Logistics

- Write up the results
- Continue to receive plagiarized code
- Hold comparisons regularly
- Keep the web page up to date



Discussion/Partners

Sept. 16, 2009

Thank You

Bob Zeidman bob@SAFE-corp.biz



www.SAFE-corp.biz

Sept. 16, 2009